REMARKS

The Examiner is requiring restriction to one of the following groups:

Group I: Claims 1-11, drawn to a process of making a composite;

Group II: Claims 12-15, drawn to an apparatus to make a composite; and

Group III: Claims 16-21, drawn to a composite.

Applicants provisionally elect Group I, Claims 1-11, drawn to a process of making a composite, with traverse on the grounds that no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctiveness between the identified groups. Also, it has not been shown that a burden exists in searching the claims of the three groups.

Moreover, the MPEP at § 803 states as follows:

"If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without a serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on its merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions."

Applicants respectfully submit that a search of all of the claims would not impose a serious burden on the Office.

Accordingly, and for the reasons presented above, Applicants submit that the Office has failed to meet the burden necessary in order to sustain the Restriction Requirement.

Withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/538,357 Reply to Office Action of September 24, 2007

Applicants respectfully submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for examination on the merits, and early notice of such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 03/06) NFO/JKN:sjh James H. Knebe

Registration No. 22,630